

# United States District Court

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

BRIAN HAYWOOD

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING

Case Number: 1:02-CR-271

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending revocation hearing in this case.

#### Part I - Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
- ☐ an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

#### Alternate Findings (A)

- ☐ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- ☐ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- ☐ (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

#### Alternate Findings (B)

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☒ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant was convicted in 2003 for distributing crack cocaine. He was released from prison in 2008, and has been before the court several times since then for violations of his supervised release. On February 22, 2011, he was indicted by the Grand Jury for conspiring to distribute heroin and with possessing heroin with the intent to distribute. These charges are supported in part by three controlled buys during January 2011.

(Continued on attachment)

#### Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention

I find that the defendant has failed to show by clear and convincing evidence that there is a condition or combination of conditions that will assure the safety of the community from further drug dealing by the defendant, based on his continued drug trafficking, while on supervised release under the auspices of the court, and his physical resistance to the officers.

#### Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: February 28, 2011

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.

Signature of Judicial Officer

Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judicial Officer

United States v. Brian Haywood

1:02-CR-271

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING**

Page 2.

**Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)**

When law enforcement attempted to execute a search warrant at defendant's home on January 27, 2011, defendant tried to barricade himself in the bathroom while he flushed 4 grams of heroin down the toilet. After the police smashed a hole in the door of the bathroom, the defendant opened the bathroom door, but also released a pit bull on the officers. The dog bit one officer's pant leg and another officer's hand. The latter then shot and wounded the dog, and the defendant had to be forcibly removed from the bathroom after struggling with the officers prior to being handcuffed. A search of the residence revealed a large quantity of heroin, four scales, packaging materials, and cash on defendant's person. The cash found had been previously used in the controlled buys of heroin.

**Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)**